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The tuberous breast deformity is a rare entity affecting young women bilaterally or unilaterally. The deformity is characterized by a constricting ring at the base of the breast, which leads to deficient horizontal and vertical development of the breast with or without herniation of the breast parenchyma toward the nipple-areola complex and areola enlargement. Several methods have been put forward to correct the deformity, but most of these fail to address the issue of the constricting ring and subsequently yield results that are not aesthetically satisfactory. A new approach to the treatment of the deformity is presented, which consists of a periareolar approach and rearrangement of the inferior part of the breast parenchyma by division of the constricting ring, thus creating two breast pillars. These pillars are allowed to redrape, and in cases of volume deficiency, a silicone breast implant is placed in a subglandular pocket. The procedure is completed by a donut-type excision to address the size of the nipple-areola complex. The technique has used on 11 patients (21 breasts) with excellent aesthetic results. (Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 112: 1099, 2003.)

The tuberous breast deformity is a rare entity affecting teenage women unilaterally or bilaterally. Its exact incidence has not been properly investigated and remains unknown. Nonetheless, this deformity produces much psychological morbidity and presents a reconstructive challenge for the plastic surgeon.

The tuberous breast deformity was first described in 1976 by Rees and Aston and was so named because “it resembled the shape of a tuberous plant root.” Unfortunately, in that same, seminal article, the authors described another “similar,” as they said, deformity: the “tubular breast.” Since then, several articles have been published on the subject, each using its own nomenclature, thereby producing much confusion among plastic surgeons.

Tuberous breasts, tubular breasts, Snoopy breasts, herniated areolar complex, domed nipple, nipple breast, constricted breast, lower-pole hypoplasia, and narrow-based breast are some of the names used to describe this deformity or the so-called new deformities that, under careful inspection, are no different from the original one described by Rees and Aston.

The essence of the matter remains that there is deficiency in the vertical and/or horizontal dimensions of the breast, usually characterized by underdevelopment of the breast and often herniation of breast tissue into the areola with hypertrophy of the areola.

In our view, the reason for the confusion in the literature lies in the lack of understanding of the anatomical/histopathological abnormality underlying the deformity.

ANATOMY AND EMBRYOLOGY

Embryologically, the breast comes from the mammary ridge, which develops in utero from the ectoderm during the fifth week. Shortly after its formation (seventh to eighth week), most parts of this ridge disappear, except for a small portion in the thoracic region that persists and penetrates the underlying mesenchyme (10 to 14 weeks). Further differentiation and development of the breast occurs during the intrauterine life and is completed by the time of birth, after which essentially no further development occurs until puberty.

The next series of steps in the development of the breast are activated at puberty in the female. These steps consist of growth of the...
mammary tissue beneath the areola with enlargement of the areola, until the age of 15 to 16, when the breast assumes its familiar shape.15–17

As a result of the ectodermal origin of the breast and its invagination into the underlying mesenchyme, the breast tissue is contained within a fascial envelope, the superficial fascia.15,16,18 This superficial fascia is continuous with the superficial abdominal fascia of Camper and consists of two layers: the superficial layer of the superficial fascia, which is the outer layer covering the breast parenchyma, and the deep layer of the superficial fascia, which forms the posterior boundary of the breast parenchyma and lies on the deep fascia of the pectoralis major and serratus anterior muscles.15,16,18 The deep layer of the superficial fascia is penetrated by fibrous attachments called suspensory ligaments of Cooper, which join the two layers of the superficial fascia and extend to the dermis of the overlying skin and the deep pectoral fascia.15,16,18

A critical point in the understanding of the tuberous breast deformity is the fact that the superficial layer of the superficial fascia is absent in the area underneath the areola, as can easily be demonstrated by the invagination of the mammary bud in the mesenchyme.17

Clinical experience has shown us and other authors2,19 that, in cases of tuberous breasts, there is a constricting fibrous ring at the level of the periphery of the nipple-areola complex that inhibits the normal development of the breast. This constricting ring of fibrous tissue is denser at the lower part of the breast and does not allow the developing breast parenchyma to expand during puberty. Our own understanding is that this ring represents a thickening of the superficial fascia, as described earlier. Perhaps the two layers of this fascia join at a higher level than usual, or it could be that the suspensory ligaments are thicker and more dense.5

The result in either case is that the developing breast cannot expand inferiorly,5 and due to the fact that there is no superficial layer of the superficial fascia under the areola, the breast parenchyma herniates toward the nipple-areola complex. The severity of the deformity depends on the severity of the malformation of the superficial fascia and ranges from slight underdevelopment of the inferior medial quadrant of the breast with near-normal breast volume to major hypoplasia of all four quadrants with various degrees of herniation of the breast parenchyma toward the areola, as already described in several classifications submitted over the years.5,6,14,20 We have adopted the classification of Grolleau et al.,5 according to which deficiency of the lower medial quadrant is type I, deficiency of both lower quadrants is type II, and deficiency of all four quadrants is type III.

On the basis of this understanding of the anatomical basis of the deformity, we were able to develop our own protocol for the treatment of the tuberous breast deformity, which comprises correction of the anatomical malformation(s).

**Patients and Methods**

Eleven patients were treated with our technique in the last 5 years; 10 patients had bilateral deformity and one patient had unilateral deformity (21 breasts) (Table I).

The procedure begins with the preoperative marking of the new inframammary fold, with the patient in the standing and the supine positions, by projection of the contralateral breast in unilateral cases, or by using the sixth rib as a landmark in bilateral cases.8 A periareolar donut-type skin excision (de-epithelialization) is performed to reduce the areola to the desired size, usually 4 to 4.5 cm in diameter (Fig. 1, above, left). The skin of the inferior half of the breast is undermined down to the pectoralis fascia with sharp dissection (Fig. 1, above, center). The exteriorized inferior half of the breast is transected with a vertical incision along the sixth rib as a landmark in bilateral cases. The procedure continues farther down toward the new inframammary fold, and then upward, behind the breast, along the natural plane between the deep layer of the superficial fascia and the deep fascia bluntly (Fig. 1, above, right). The breast parenchyma is dissected off the deep pectoral fascia, leaving only the superior part of the breast attached. The dissection is also extended laterally and medially, and the breast parenchyma is exteriorized through the periareolar opening. The exteriorized inferior half of the breast is transected with a vertical incision along the middle (Fig. 1, below, left, and Fig. 2). The constricting fibrous ring is thus divided, and two breast pillars are created that allow the breast parenchyma to redrape, assuming a more natural shape. If the pillars are short, they are just loosely approximated using absorbable sutures (4-0 Vicryl; Ethicon, Somerville, N.J.). If the two pillars are long, as is the
case in Figure 2, then the proximal parts are again approximated by using absorbable sutures, and the distal parts are either allowed to redrape freely or are folded over each other like a double-breasted jacket to create added volume in the inferior portion of the breast.
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**Fig. 1.** Technique: (Above, left) Periareolar donut-type skin excision. (Above, center) The skin of the inferior half of the breast is undermined down to the pectoralis fascia with sharp dissection. (Above, right) The dissection continues further down toward the new inframammary fold, and then upward, behind the breast with blunt dissection. The breast parenchyma is dissected off the deep pectoral fascia, leaving only the superior part of the breast attached. (Below, left) The breast parenchyma is exteriorized through the periareolar opening. The exteriorized inferior half of the breast is transected with a vertical incision along the middle. (Below, right) The resulting breast has a normal-sized areola, a natural shape, a volume matching the contralateral breast, and no evidence of the “double-bubble” deformity.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Patient Age (yr)</th>
<th>Breast Pathology</th>
<th>Implants</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Surgical Team</th>
<th>Patient Note</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correction</td>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>275 cc</td>
<td>275 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>300 cc</td>
<td>200 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Class A ptosis</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>250 cc</td>
<td>250 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>225 cc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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If necessary, a silicone breast implant is placed behind the breast parenchyma, with particular care taken so that the pillars cover the implant in its entirety. The decision to use a breast implant is usually made at the preoperative consultation in which doctor and patient discuss whether additional volume will be required. Round textured silicone gel implants are usually used. The periareolar incision is sutured in layers with deep subcutaneous and intradermal sutures using long-lasting dissolvable material (4-0 polydioxanone suture or Monocryl; Ethicon). So far, with a maximum follow-up of 4 years, we have not had any problems with stretching of the periareolar scar.

**RESULTS**

The resulting breast has a normal-sized areola, a natural shape, a volume matching the contralateral breast, and no evidence of the “double-bubble” deformity (Fig. 1, below, right, and Figs. 3 through 8).

The outcome measures used in this group of patients were correction of the deformity, breast symmetry, and patient satisfaction. An analogue scale with a range from 1 to 3 was used for each of the three parameters men-
tioned (Table II). The first two parameters were scored by the surgical team, and the third one was scored by the patient, all at 3 to 6 months from the time of the procedure.

The results are presented in Table I. In general, correction of the deformity was excellent and symmetry was very good, apart from cases 6 and 11, with asymmetrical deformity (types III and II and types III and I, respectively), in which good symmetry could not be achieved (Figs. 6 and 8). Nonetheless, patient satisfaction was very high, even in these two cases.

There were no complications in this series of 11 patients.

**FIG. 4.** Thirty-year-old patient (case 3) with bilateral type II tuberous breast deformity. The main features of the deformity are underdevelopment of the inferior pole of the breast with slightly increased areolar diameter. A periareolar donut-type excision was followed by readjustment of the breast parenchyma and insertion of 225-cc silicone breast implants. The patient subsequently got pregnant 3 years after the original operation. (Above) Frontal views and (below) left oblique views, preoperatively, 1 year postoperatively, and 3 years postoperatively (the patient was 20 weeks pregnant).

**FIG. 5.** Twenty-two-year-old patient (case 5) with bilateral type II tuberous breast deformity with underdevelopment of the inferior pole of both breasts. A periareolar donut-type excision was combined with our technique to readjust the breast parenchyma, without the need to use silicone breast implants. Left oblique views, preoperatively and 6 months postoperatively.

**DISCUSSION**

The tuberous breast deformity was first described in 1976 by Rees and Aston. Since then, several authors have attempted to describe, classify, and correct the problem by utilizing different methods with varying results. The large number of articles published on the subject demonstrates the psychological morbidity that the deformity can cause, as well as the difficulty in developing a satisfactory surgical solution to the problem.

We have been dealing with this problem for several years, and the initial unsatisfactory re-
sults achieved when conventional methods were used led us back to the basics to find the answers we needed. The study of the anatomy and embryology of the breast enabled us to understand the nature of the deformity and to formulate a surgical approach capable of restoring normal breast aesthetics.

Other authors have also referred to the embryology of breast development, but the theories put forward are far from satisfactory. Glaesmer suggested a phylogenetic relapse (1930), and Pers (1968) postulated that there is failure of tissue differentiation in a limited zone of the fetal thorax. Pers’ theory might be suitable to explain deformities in the line of amastia and Poland’s syndrome, but as far as the tuberous breast deformity is concerned, we believe that things are much simpler than what both of these theories suggest and that the only aberration is a thickening of the superficial fascia, as it has already been explained in detail.

Our own understanding of the development of the deformity is as follows: during the tenth to fourteenth week of fetal development, the developing breast, which is ectodermal in origin, starts pushing inward into the underlying mesenchyme. As a result, the breast is enclosed within a fascial envelope, with the only point not covered by this fascia being the point of entry, which subsequently develops to become the nipple-areola complex.

The absence of the superficial layer of the superficial fascia underneath the areola coupled with the “constricting ring” formed by the thickening of the superficial fascia, especially in the lower pole of the breast, inhibits the expansion of the developing breast and leads to a herniation of the breast parenchyma toward the nipple-areola complex. As already mentioned, the severity of the deformity ranges from mild hypoplasia of the inferior medial quadrant of the breast to major hypoplasia of all four quadrants with varying degrees of herniation and areola enlargement.

Many scientists have addressed the issue of this constricting ring, but no one has ac-
Anatomical and histological studies of the tissues involved would be an interesting addition to confirm these anecdotal reports.

Most authors acknowledge that merely placing an implant behind the deformed breast accentuates the deformity instead of correcting it. Some authors advocate that there is skin deficiency in the inferior part of the breast, with the inframammary fold being situated much higher than normal, but if one carefully examines the affected breast, the skin in the inferior part of the breast is lax, and the constriction lies deep within the subcutaneous tissue.

Failure to address this problem is the main reason why the results yielded by most methods are far from satisfactory. There are, however, some authors who have focused on this point and have tried to rearrange the breast parenchyma to mold a more natural-looking breast.

Rees and Aston were the first to discuss radial incisions on the back of the breast to expand its base, but their technique did not actually transect the constricting ring. Dinner and Dowden realized that there was something constricting the breast in its inferior pole, but they thought that it was the skin that was responsible for this constriction. They therefore used a full-thickness incision through skin, subcutaneous tissue, and breast to release it, followed by transposition of a skin and subcutaneous tissue flap. Other authors have tried to rearrange the inferior pole of the breast, transecting the breast parenchyma horizontally and then unfolding the flap inward or outward, but the results have not always been aesthetically satisfactory.
FIG. 8. Twenty-one-year-old patient (case 11) with asymmetrical tuberous breast deformity. The right breast is characterized by underdevelopment of the inferior medial quadrant (type I), while on the left side there is severe constriction in both horizontal and vertical axes (type III). The right breast was treated with periareolar donut-type excision and readjustment of the breast parenchyma without the use of an implant. The left breast was treated with a semicircular periareolar incision, readjustment of the breast parenchyma, and subglandular placement of a 225-cc silicone breast implant. Frontal, right, and left oblique views, preoperatively and 5 months postoperatively.
Of note is the technique developed by Ribeiro et al. which in principle is very similar to ours. Ribeiro recognizes the existence of the constricting ring that needs to be transected to allow the breast to reshape. Ribeiro transects the ring in a horizontal axis and then develops a flap from the inferior portion of the breast to enhance the projection of the hypoplastic breast, thereby doing away with implants, as his patients are not particularly concerned with large breast volumes.

Our approach is slightly different. The constricting ring is transected at the 6 o’clock semiaxis of the breast, thus creating two pillars in the inferior part of the breast. The pillars are then either just loosely reapproximated using absorbable sutures or folded over each other in the fashion of a “double-breasted” jacket to add volume in the inferior portion of the breast—with the optional addition of a breast implant underneath it to correct any volume deficiency.

We believe that our technique is simple, is technically easy, and it yields consistently good results. The scars are confined to the periareolar margin and are, most of the time, virtually invisible. There is the additional advantage of not disturbing the lactiferous ducts, thus allowing normal breast function (provided adequate breast parenchyma was present before the procedure).
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